

Chapter 8

Section 8.1

We might be interested in

- the proportion of US males who have health insurance,
- the proportion of imported cars in the US,
- the proportion of Americans who have Type II diabetes,

Notations:

p : Population Proportion (the proportion of the entire population that has the specified attribute.)

$\hat{p} = \frac{x}{n}$: Sample Proportion (the proportion of a sample from the population that has the specified attribute.)

Example 1: A sample of 200 married couples selected from throughout the United States showed that for 84 of the couples, both the husband and wife held full-time jobs. Use the sample results to estimate the proportion of all married couples in the United States for which both the husband and wife hold full-time jobs. Solution. 0.42

The Sampling Distribution of the proportion

In selecting random samples of size n from a particular population with a proportion of p , the sampling distribution of the sample proportion \hat{p} approaches a normal distribution with mean of p and the standard deviation of $\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ as the sample size becomes large; $\hat{P} \sim N\left(p, \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}\right)$. When is the sample size considered large? If $np \geq 10$ and $n(1-p) \geq 10$

(1) If p is unknown, then $\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ is estimated by $\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$

(2) A $(1-\alpha)\%$ C.I. for estimating p is $\hat{p} \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$

Example 2: A particular county in West Virginia has a 9% unemployment rate. To monitor the unemployment rate in that county, a monthly survey of 800 individuals is conducted by a state agency.

(a) What is the sampling distribution of \hat{P} when a sample size of 800 is used?

Solution (a) $\hat{P} \sim N(0.09, 0.0101)$

Example 3. In a Roper Organizational poll of 2,000 adults, 1,280 have money in regular saving accounts. Find a 95% confidence interval for the true proportion of adults who have money in regular saving accounts.

Solution. $\hat{p} = \frac{x}{n} = \frac{1280}{2000} = 0.64$, $n=2000$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p} \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}} &\Rightarrow 0.64 \pm (1.96) \sqrt{\frac{0.64(1 - 0.64)}{2000}} \Rightarrow 0.64 \pm (1.96)(0.011) \\ &\Rightarrow 0.64 \pm 0.022 \Rightarrow (0.618, 0.662) \end{aligned}$$

We are 95% confident that the percentage of adults having money in the saving account is **61.8% to 66.2%**.

Determination of the Sample Size: Let $m = Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{p(1 - p)}{n}}$

Solving for n gives us, $n = \left(\frac{Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{m}\right)^2 p(1 - p)$ Note: If p is unknown take it to be $p = 0.5$. $p = 0.5$ it gives the maximum sample size.

Example 4. We want to estimate with a maximum error of 3%, the true proportion of VSU students who would like Friday classes during the summer semester and we want 96% confidence in our results. How many VSU students must we survey?

Solution. $n = \left(\frac{Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{m}\right)^2 \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p}) = \left(\frac{2.05}{0.03}\right)^2 (0.5)(0.5) = 1167.36$. So, we need to survey 1168 students.

Example 5. A National survey of registered voters is being conducted to determine the proportion of voters who favor a particular candidate. Assume that the desired confidence level is 95% and that the desired maximum sampling error is 0.02 percent.

(a) How large a sample is needed if it is believed that approximately 35% of the population currently supports the candidate?

Solution. $n = \left(\frac{Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{m}\right)^2 \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p}) = \left(\frac{1.96}{0.02}\right)^2 (0.35)(0.65) = 2184.91$.

So, we need to survey 2185 voters.

(b) How large a sample is needed if no information is available on the proportion of voters currently supporting the candidate?

$$\text{Solution. } n = \left(\frac{Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{m} \right)^2 \hat{p}(1-\hat{p}) = \left(\frac{1.96}{0.02} \right)^2 (0.5)(0.5) = 2401 .$$

So, we need to survey 2401 voters.

Hypothesis Testing – One Population Proportion

General form of Hypothesis Testing

Step 1	Case1. $H_0 : p \geq p_0$ $H_a : p < p_0$	Case2. $H_0 : p \leq p_0$ $H_a : p > p_0$	Case3. $H_0 : p = p_0$ $H_a : p \neq p_0$
Step 2	$Z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}$	$Z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}$	$Z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}$
Step 3	Re ject H_0 if $Z^* < -Z_{\alpha}$	Re ject H_0 if $Z^* > Z_{\alpha}$	Re ject H_0 if $ Z^* > Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$
Step 4	Conclusion: We do not reject H_0 and conclude that We reject H_0 and conclude that		

Example 6. Mr. Dixon, a Republican, claims that he has the support of 55% of all voters in the 23rd U.S. Congressional District. Can the Central Committee conclude that less than 55% of all voters support Mr. Dixon, if, out of a random sample of 500 registered voters, only 245 expressed their preference for Mr. Dixon? Use $\alpha = 0.01$ as the level of significance.

1. $H_0 : p = 0.55$ vs $H_a : p < 0.55$ (Lower Tail Test)
2. Test Statistic: $Z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.49 - 0.55}{\sqrt{\frac{0.55(1-0.55)}{500}}} = -2.7$
3. Re ject H_0 if $Z^* < -Z_{\alpha=0.01} = -2.33$
4. Conclusion: Since $Z^* = -2.7$ is less than -2.33 , we reject H_0 and conclude that the Central Committee has enough evidence that less than 55% of all voters support Mr. Dixon.
Note: P-Value = $P(Z < Z^*) = P(Z < -2.7) = 0.0035$ P-Value = $0.0035 < \alpha = 0.01$. Therefore, we reject H_0 and draw the same conclusion as in step 4 above.

Example 7. The manager of an Italian restaurant is considering opening a carryout food service. However, the manager is concerned that not all individuals placing order by phone actually pickup the order. If 90% or less of the phone orders will be picked up, the restaurant will not have a profitable operation. However, if it can be concluded that more than 90% of the phone orders will be picked up the carryout operation will be a worthwhile addition for the restaurant. During a 2-week period, 234 orders in a sample of 250 phone orders were picked up. Test the appropriate hypothesis at $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance.

1. $H_0 : p = 0.90$ vs $H_a : p > 0.90$ (<i>Upper Tail Test</i>)
2. Test Statistic: $Z^* = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.936 - 0.90}{\sqrt{\frac{0.90(1-0.90)}{250}}} = 1.9$
3. Re j ect H_0 if $Z^* > Z_{\alpha=0.05} = 1.645$
4. Conclusion: Since $Z^* = 1.9$ is greater than 1.645, we reject H_0 and conclude that more than 90% of the phone orders will be picked up and the carryout operation should be implemented.
Note: P-Value = $P(Z > Z^*) = P(Z > 1.9) = 1 - P(Z < 1.9) = 1 - 0.9713 = 0.0287$ P-Value = $0.0287 < \alpha = 0.05$. Therefore, we reject H_0 and draw the same conclusion as in step 4 above.

Homework: 8.30 (a), 8.31, 8.32, 8.33, 8.34, 8.35(a), 8.36, 8.37(a), 8.38(a and c), 8.39, 8.40, 8.41, 8.42 pages 503-504

Section 8.2 Comparing Two Population Proportions ($P_1 - P_2$).

The estimator for the difference of two population proportions is $(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2)$.

$$\text{Note: } E(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2) = P_1 - P_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{P_1(1-P_1)}{n_1} + \frac{P_2(1-P_2)}{n_2}}$$

Based on the C.L.T.: If $n_1 p_1 \geq 10$, $n_1(1-p_1) \geq 10$, $n_2 p_2 \geq 10$, $n_2(1-p_2) \geq 10$, then

$$(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2) \sim N\left(P_1 - P_2, \sqrt{\frac{P_1(1-P_1)}{n_1} + \frac{P_2(1-P_2)}{n_2}}\right).$$

$(1-\alpha)\%$ **Confidence Interval for $(P_1 - P_2)$.**

$$(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2) \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{P}_1(1-\hat{P}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{P}_2(1-\hat{P}_2)}{n_2}}$$

Example 1 : The following table shows the result on a recent survey among men and women in supporting the president's new tax law. Find a 95% confidence interval for the difference of the two population proportions that are currently supporting the president's new tax law.

Population	n	x	$\hat{P} = \frac{x}{n}$
1 (Men)	7180	1630	0.227
2 (Women)	9916	1684	0.170
Total	17096	3314	0.194

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2) \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{P}_1(1-\hat{P}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{P}_2(1-\hat{P}_2)}{n_2}} &\Rightarrow (0.227 - 0.170) \pm (1.96) \sqrt{\frac{0.227(1-0.227)}{7180} + \frac{0.170(1-0.170)}{9916}} \\ &\Rightarrow (0.057) \pm (1.96)(0.00622) \Rightarrow (0.057) \pm (0.012) \Rightarrow (0.045, 0.069) \end{aligned}$$

We are 95% confident that the proportion of men that support the new tax law is 4.5% to 6.9% higher than the proportion of women.

Hypothesis Testing: $H_0 : P_1 - P_2 = 0$

We are doing hypothesis testing under the assumption that the Null Hypothesis, $H_0 : P_1 - P_2 = 0$, is true, meaning that the two population proportions are the same; i.e. $P_1 = P_2 = P$. Since the two population proportions are the same, the standard deviation of $(\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2)$ is

$$\sigma_{\hat{P}_1 - \hat{P}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{P_1(1-P_1)}{n_1} + \frac{P_2(1-P_2)}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\frac{P(1-P)}{n_1} + \frac{P(1-P)}{n_2}} = \sqrt{P(1-P)\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}. \text{ We}$$

estimate the common value of P , by the overall proportion of success in both samples. We are using \hat{P} to estimate P ; i.e. $\hat{P} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{n_1 + n_2}$. \hat{P} is called the

pooled estimate because we are combining or pooling, the information from both samples for our estimate. Hence, the pooled standard deviation is denoted by S_p

and is given by the following formula, $S_p = \sqrt{\hat{P}(1-\hat{P})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$ where

$$\hat{P} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{n_1 + n_2}.$$

Hypothesis Testing – Two Population Proportions

General form of Hypothesis Testing

Step 1	Case1. $H_0 : p_1 - p_2 = 0$ $H_a : p_1 - p_2 < 0$	Case2. $H_0 : p_1 - p_2 = 0$ $H_a : p_1 - p_2 > 0$	Case3. $H_0 : p_1 - p_2 = 0$ $H_a : p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$
Step 2	$Z^* = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - 0}{S_p}$ where $S_p = \sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$ and $\hat{P} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{n_1 + n_2}$		
Step 3	Rej ect H_0 if $Z^* < -Z_{\alpha}$	Rej ect H_0 if $Z^* > Z_{\alpha}$	Rej ect H_0 if $ Z^* > Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$
Step 4	Conclusion: We do not reject H_0 and conclude that We reject H_0 and conclude that		

Example 2: In Example 1, do hypothesis testing. Do we have enough evidence that more men support the new tax law than women? Test it at $\alpha = 0.05$.

$$S_p = \sqrt{0.194(1-0.194)\left(\frac{1}{7180} + \frac{1}{9916}\right)} = 0.006126 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{1630 + 1684}{7180 + 9916} = \frac{3314}{17096} = 0.194$$

1. $H_0 : P_1 - P_2 = 0$ vs $H_a : P_1 - P_2 > 0$ (<i>Upper Tail Test</i>)
2. $Z^* = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - 0}{S_p} = \frac{(0.227 - 0.170) - 0}{0.006126} = 9.34 \quad \text{where } S_p = 0.006126 \text{ and } \hat{p} = 0.194$
3. Reject H_0 if $Z^* > Z_{\alpha=0.05} = 1.645$
4. Conclusion: Since $Z^* = 9.34$ is greater than 1.645, we reject H_0 and conclude that more men will support the new tax law than women.
Note: P-Value = $P(Z > Z^*) = P(Z > 9.34) = 1 - P(Z < 9.34) = 1 - 1 = 0$ P-Value = $0 < \alpha = 0.05$. Therefore, we reject H_0 and draw the same conclusion as in step 4 above.

Example 3: The power take-off(PTO) driveline on farm tractors is a potentially serious hazard to farmers. A shield covers the driveline on new tractors, but for a variety of reasons, the shield is often missing on older tractors. Two types of shield are the bolt-on and the flip-up. A study initiated by the National Safety Council took a sample of older tractors to examine the proportions of shields removed. The study found that 35 shields had been removed from the 83 tractors having bolt-on shields and 15 had been removed from 136 tractors with flip-up shields.

- (a) Test the hypothesis that there is no difference in the two proportions of the type of shields. (use $\alpha = 0.01$)

$$S_p = \sqrt{0.2283(1-0.2283)\left(\frac{1}{83} + \frac{1}{136}\right)} = 0.0585 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{35 + 15}{83 + 136} = \frac{50}{219} = 0.2283$$

1. $H_0 : P_1 - P_2 = 0$ vs $H_a : P_1 - P_2 \neq 0$ (<i>Two Tail Test</i>)
2. $Z^* = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - 0}{S_p} = \frac{(0.4217 - 0.1103) - 0}{0.0585} = 5.33 \quad \text{where } S_p = 0.0585 \text{ and } \hat{P} = 0.2283$
3. Reject H_0 if $ Z^* > Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}=0.005} = 2.575$
4. Conclusion: Since $Z^* = 5.33$ is greater than 2.575, we reject H_0 and conclude that there is a significant difference in the two shield types.
Note: P-Value = $2P(Z < - Z^*) = 2P(Z < -5.33) = 2.0=0$ P-Value = $0 < \alpha = 0.01$. Therefore, we reject H_0 and draw the same conclusion as in step 4 above.

- (b) Give a 90% confidence interval for the proportions of removed shields for the bolt-on and the flip-up types. Based on the data, what recommendation would you make about the type of shield to be used on new tractors?

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}} \Rightarrow (0.4217 - 0.1103) \pm (1.645) \sqrt{\frac{0.4217(1-0.4217)}{83} + \frac{0.1103(1-0.1103)}{136}}$$

$$\Rightarrow (0.3114) \pm (1.645)(0.0605) \Rightarrow (0.3114) \pm (0.0995) \Rightarrow (0.2119, 0.4109)$$

We are 90% confident that the proportion of bolt-on shield removed is 21.19% to 41.09% higher than the proportion of flip-up shield removed. Hence, the flip-up shield shields are much more likely to remain on the tractor.

Homework: 8.63(a,b,c,d, and e - Only), 8.67 (a,b,c,d, and e - Only), 8.74 pages 520-522